

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004

APPLICATION NO). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,175		10/09/2003	John L. de Ris	36737-183026	7886	
26694	7590	11/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	•	IER, HOWARD A	PRUCHNIC, STANLEY J			
P.O. BOX WASHING		20043-9998		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,			2859		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/681,175	DE RIS ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Stanley J. Pruchnic, Jr.	2859					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ite, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	August 2004.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applica	tion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
aj	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachme	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) ∭ Notice of In 6) ☒ Other: <u>Su</u>	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 19ested DRAWING Change ((15 Lect)				

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (Claims 1-10) in the reply filed on 27 August 2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 11-12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 27 August 2004.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to because reference characters 10 and 22 are not clearly indicating the respective features. A suggested drawing change is attached showing arrows indicating the location of the respective features.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

,

Application/Control Number: 10/681,175

Art Unit: 2859

Claim Objections

Page 3

5. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: In Line 2, "the electric-resistance heating element" lacks antecedent basis since, in claim 1, although, "an electrical heating element" is introduced, it is not already indicated that it is "an electric-resistance heating element". For consideration as to the merits, it is considered that the electric-resistance heating element of Claim 9 is referring to the same heating element introduced in Claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rolinski, Edmund J. *et al.* (U. S. Patent No. 4309901 A, hereinafter **ROLINSKI**) in view of Smith *et al.* (U. S. Pat. No. 5,161,889 A, hereinafter **SMITH**).

Regarding Claims 1 and 5-10:

ROLINSKI discloses a measuring device for determining the radiant heat flux (using heat flux gauges 18, 18') received by a test specimen having a coating to

Art Unit: 2859

improve the absorption of the radiant heat flux as claimed by Applicant in Claims 1-10, comprising:

a body (12, 14);

a coating (the anodized copper plate 12 inherently has an oxide coating, as is well known; Col. 1, Lines 30-31) on said body (12);

an electrical heating element (means *for heating* 16; Col. 2, Lines 28-29) in heat transfer relationship with said body, free from interposition, between the heating element (means *for heating* 16) and said body (12), of the coating on said body (12); and

a thermal detector 26 (Col. 2, Lines 8-17) which is a means *for indicating* the temperature of the body; and further regarding Claim 7, the thermal detector 26 is a thermocouple connected to said body (Col. 2, Lines 8-9); as claimed by Applicant in Claims 1, 7 and 10.

Regarding Claim 5: **ROLINSKI** discloses the electrical heating element 16 is positioned within said body (considering the body to be composed of the joined plates 12 and 14; see Fig. 2).

Regarding Claim 6: **ROLINSKI** discloses the electrical heating element is an electric resistance heating element 16 (Col. 2, Lines 28-29).

Regarding Claim 8: **ROLINSKI** further discloses an insulated holder (33) for said body 12, wherein said body 12 has a first surface to which the coating is applied and at least one other surface (i.e, the surface between the plates 12, 14; Col. 2, Lines 21-27), said first surface being exposed for receiving radiant heat flux, and said other surface being covered by the insulated holder (33).

Regarding Claim 9: **ROLINSKI** further discloses means (rheostat 56; Col. 2, Lines 64-66) for indicating the electrical power applied to the electric-resistance heating element 16.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,175

Art Unit: 2859

ROLINSKI as described above, does not explicitly disclose the coating on said body being the same as the coating on a test specimen as claimed by Applicant in Claim 1.

ROLINSKI, to summarize, discloses all the limitations as claimed by Applicant in Claims 1 and 5-10, as described above, except that, **ROLINSKI** does not explicitly disclose the coating on said body being the same as the coating on a test specimen as claimed by Applicant in Claim 1.

SMITH discloses a measuring device for determining the radiant heat flux received by a test specimen having a coating to improve the absorption of the radiant heat flux, comprising: a body (30; being a metal disk, and the disk may be copper; Col. 5, Lines 1-8)

SMITH further discloses that it is advantageous to include a coating on said body being the same as the coating on a test specimen (*e.g.*, a process product, a food product) in order to benefit from more accurate simulation of the radiant heating of the specimen by matching their emissivities (Col. 10, Lines 11-30).

SMITH is evidence that ordinary workers in the field of radiant heating evaluation would recognize the benefit of using a body having the same coating as a test specimen as suggested by SMITH for the anodized coating of **ROLINSKI** in order to more accurately determine the radiant heat flux received by a test specimen.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the same coating as a test specimen for the anodic copper coating of **ROLINSKI** in order to more accurately determine the radiant heat flux received by a test specimen as taught by SMITH.

Further regarding Claims 2-4:

Regarding Claim 2: **ROLINSKI** does not disclose said body has a shape similar to that of a test specimen. Further regarding Claim 3, **ROLINSKI** does disclose said body is made of copper (Col 1, Lines 60-61). Regarding Claim 4: **ROLINSKI** does

Application/Control Number: 10/681,175

Art Unit: 2859

disclose discloses said body is a metal (copper), as described above, but does not disclose said body is a metal disk.

To summarize, **ROLINSKI** discloses all the limitations as claimed by Applicant in Claims 2-4, except for the limitation wherein said body has a shape similar to that of a test specimen and except for the limitation wherein said body is a metal disk as claimed by Applicant in Claims 2 and 4.

SMITH discloses a measuring device for determining the radiant heat flux received by a test specimen having a coating to improve the absorption of the radiant heat flux, comprising: a body (30; being a metal disk, being "cylindrical or plate shaped"; Col. 7, Lines 17-31; see also Col. 6, Line 60 - Col. 7, Line 3; and having a shape similar to a specimen; Col. 12, Lines 51-60)

SMITH further discloses that it is advantageous to use a metal disk shape in order to benefit from having a smaller number of non-uniformites; and to use a body having a shape similar to a specimen, in order to benefit from the body more suitably simulating the product (specimen) going through the heat exchange process (Col. 7, Lines 17-31).

SMITH is evidence that ordinary workers in the field of radiant heating evaluation would recognize the benefit of using a body having a metal disk shape in order to benefit from having a smaller number of non-uniformities.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a disk shaped body for the square shaped body of **ROLINSKI** in order to benefit from having a smaller number of non-uniformities as taught by SMITH.

SMITH is evidence that ordinary workers in the field of radiant heating evaluation would recognize the benefit of using a body having a shape similar to a specimen in order to benefit from the body more suitably simulating the product.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a body having a shape similar to a specimen for the square plate body of **ROLINSKI** in order to benefit from the body more

Application/Control Number: 10/681,175 Page 7

Art Unit: 2859

suitably simulating the product going through the heat exchange process as taught by SMITH.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in a form PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related radiant heat flux measurement devices.
 - U. S. Patent No. 3819419 A (Loose, Jack D.) and U. S. Patent No. 3765238 A
 (Sumikama et al.) disclose heat flux sensors including coatings for controlling the
 spectral band sensitivity or controlling the heat flow, e.g., adjusting emissivity.
 - U. S. Patent No. 2769334 A (ERICH SOEHNGEN) discloses electrical heating in a (convective) heat transfer simulator, wherein a body 12 may be a sample of a test specimen, and including a thermocouple for indicating the specimen temperature.
 - U. S. Patent No. 4906105 A (Geake, John E.), U. S. Patent No. 3431149 A
 (ROBINSON GERALD P et al.), and U. S. Patent No. 3088072 A (CLIFFORD RICHARD P et al.) disclose related radiant heat flux sensing devices.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stanley J. Pruchnic, Jr., whose telephone number is (571) 272-2248. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays (Monday through Friday) from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F. F. Gutierrez can be reached at (571) 272-2245.

The *Official FAX* number for Technology Center 2800 is **(703) 872-9306** for <u>all</u> <u>official</u> communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be directed to the official USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/ or you may call the USPTO Call Center at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-4357. The Technology Center 2800 Customer Service FAX phone number is (703) 872-9317.

Art Unit: 2859

The <u>cited</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, <u>all</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (<u>www.uspto.gov</u>), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources.

Private PAIR provides external customers Internet-based access to patent application status and history information as well as the ability to view the scanned images of each customer's own application file folder(s).

For inquiries relating to Patent e-business products and service applications, you may call the *Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC)* at 703-305-3028 or toll free at 866-217-9197 between the hours of 6 a.m. and midnight Monday through Friday EST, or by e-mail at: ebc@uspto.gov. Additional information is available on the Patent EBC Web site at: http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

DIEGO F. F. GUTIERREZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

556

Stanley J. Pruchnic, Jr. 11/6/04